

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/043,098 01/14/2002	Andrew Lewis Schirmer	23452-502	6733		
909 7590 04/08/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW	LY, A	LY, ANH			
P.O. BOX 10500 MCLEAN, VA 22102		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WCLEAN, VA 22102		2162			

DATE MAILED: 04/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Advisory Action**

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/043,098	SCHIRMER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Anh Ly	2162	

Defense the Fillian of an Annual Duick			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Anh Ly	2162	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>24 March 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply ma	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing		!- #- C !#:	!-b
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) a
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)  They are not deemed to place the application in here	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
<ul> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beauppeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a</li> </ul>			tne issues for
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	llowable if submitted in a separate,		_
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-5,7-10,13-16 and 19-22.		II be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
B.   The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>		<b>^</b> ·	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	- that	
		JEAN M CORP	RIELUS MINER

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Examiner maintains the rejections.

Bowman et al. of 6,169,986 (hereinafter Bowman) teaches refining search queries using user interface that requiring the user to add or delete a set of related terms to submitted query for refining the query and displaying the search result (see figs 2 and 3): a method or system of facilitating the refinement of search queries, receiving a search query from a user submitting via GUI as shown in fig. 2, identifying the refined search queries (col. 3, lines 52-60), display the search result as shown in fig. 3, from GUL search screen, user is enabling to select or pick some search parameters such as titles, author, publisher or ISBN.

Pant et al. of 6,012,053 (hereinafter Pant) teaches presenting the search result and there are several parameters of the user input interface to vary the relevance factors from which the user may manipulate them (col. 8, lines 12-36), the search result from the searching or retrieving is provided to the user (see abstract, figs. 7-9, and col. 13, lines 8-32) and type of information is based on the selected object, see fig. 5, col. 8, lines 62-67 and col. 9, lines 1-8).

Beall et al. of 6,748,376 (hereinafter Beall) teaches search refinement through classification or parametric selections. The classification list is presented to the user along with the list of matchws as an aid to the user for further refining the search and displaying and refinement of search query results: after the first search, the logic box of 124 in fig. 2(b) checking the number of hits then refining the search query with a list of categories to be displayed for user to select after that, the second search will begin (see figs. 2(b), 3 and 4 and col. 6, lines 20-67 and col. 7, lines 1-43).